

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

KHALIDAH DECKER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No.:

ENHANCED RECOVERY COMPANY,
LLC,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiff alleges a violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692 et seq. (“FDCPA”), and the Florida Consumer Collection Practices Act, Fla. Stat. 559.55 et seq. (“FCCPA”).

JURISDICTION

2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 1337, 1367, and pursuant to the FDCPA, and the FCCPA.

3. This action arises out of Defendant’s violations of the FDCPA and the FCCPA in its illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.

4. Venue is proper in this District because the acts and transactions occurred here, Plaintiff resides here, and Defendant transacts business here.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff, KHALIDAH DECKER, is a natural person who resides in the City of Jersey City, County of Hudson, State of New Jersey, and is a “consumer” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

6. Defendant ENHANCED RECOVERY COMPANY, LLC, (hereinafter “Defendant Enhanced”) is a collection agency operating from an address of 8014 Bayberry Road, Jacksonville, FL 32256, and is a “debt collector” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

7. Defendant Enhanced regularly uses the mail and telephone in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts.

8. Defendant Enhanced regularly collects or attempts to collect debts for other parties.

9. Defendant Enhanced was acting as a debt collector with respect to the collection of Plaintiff’s alleged debt.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. Plaintiff incurred a financial obligation that was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a “debt” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

11. Sometime thereafter, the debt was consigned, placed or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection from this Plaintiff.

12. Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff an alleged debt arising from transactions incurred for personal, family or household purposes.

16. Defendant attempted to enforce a debt that they knew was not legitimate.

17. Defendant reported to the credit bureaus two alleged debts that Plaintiff allegedly owed Sprint; however, Plaintiff never had two debts with Sprint.

18. Defendant appears to have added fees and charges onto Plaintiff's alleged original debt(s) that Plaintiff never contractually agreed to.

19. Defendant improperly communicated an amount not permitted by law, mainly, reported an incorrect amount due and owing to consumer credit agencies.

20. Defendant falsely represented the amount and character of the alleged debt to consumer credit agencies.

21. Defendant knew or should have known that the credit information it communicated was false.

22. Defendant communicated a false amount of the debt to the credit agencies, thereby using false representations or deceptive means to attempt to collect the debt.

23. Defendants attempted to collect an amount of the debt that was not expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law.

24. Upon information and belief, Defendant has re-aged the debt(s) to make it appear on Plaintiff's credit report until at least December 2014, and February 2015. This is beyond the date that this debt should appear on Plaintiff's credit report.

SUMMARY

25. The above-described collection communications made by Defendant, and/or a collection employees employed by Defendant, were made in violation of numerous and multiple provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b), 1692e(2), 1692e(8), 1692e(10), 1692f(1), as well as the FCCPA § 559.72(9).

TRIAL BY JURY

26. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby respectfully demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable. U.S. Const. amend. 7. Fed.R.Civ.P. 38.

CAUSES OF ACTION
COUNT 1
VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT
15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.

27. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

28. The foregoing act and omission of the Defendant and its agents constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA including, but not limited to, each and every one of the above-cited provisions of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., with respect to the Plaintiff.

29. As a result of the Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages in an amount up to \$1,000.00 against Defendant, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); and, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3), from each Defendant herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for:

- A) Damages and
- B) Attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT 2

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT
15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b)

30. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

31. Defendant improperly communicated an amount not permitted by law, mainly, reported an incorrect amount due and owing to consumer credit agencies.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for:

- A) Damages and
- B) Attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT 3

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT
15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)

32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

33. Defendant falsely represented the character and amount of debt that was allegedly owed.

34. Defendants attempted to collect the debt from Plaintiff that it knew was not legitimate.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for:

- A) Damages and

B) Attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT 4

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT
15 U.S.C. § 1692e(8) and 1692e(10)

35. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

36. Defendant improperly communicated an amount not permitted by law, mainly, reported an incorrect amount due and owing to consumer credit agencies.

37. Defendant's improper disclosures to the credit agencies were attempts to collect the debt from Plaintiff that it knew was not legitimate.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for:

A) Damages and

B) Attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT 5

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT
15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1)

38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

39. Defendant falsely represented the character and amount of debt that was allegedly owed.

40. The incorrect amount that Defendant attempted to collect from Plaintiff was not expressly authorized by the agreement creating the alleged debt nor was it permitted by law.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for:

- A) Damages and
- B) Attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT 6

VIOLATION OF 559.72(9) OF THE FLORIDA CONSUMER COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

41. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

42. Defendant attempted to enforce a debt that it knew was not legitimate.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for:

- A) Damages and
- B) Attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT 8

VIOLATION OF FCCPA §559.75

43. This part does not prohibit the assignment, by a creditor, of the right to bill and collect a consumer debt. However, the assignee must give the debtor written notice of such assignment within 30 days after the assignment. The assignee is a real party in interest and may bring an action in a court of competent jurisdiction to collect a debt that has been assigned to such assignee and is in default.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants Credit Management and Bright House for:

- A) Damages and
- B) Attorneys' fees and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against the Defendants.

Dated: October 31, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

/s Andrew I. Glenn
Andrew I. Glenn
E-mail: AGlenn@cardandglenn.com
Florida Bar No.: 026491992
Card & Glenn, P.A.
660 Newark Avenue, Floor 3
Jersey City, New Jersey 07306
Telephone: (201) 254-5009
Facsimile: (201) 643-5959
Attorneys for Plaintiff